COUNT HARRISBURG, PA THE UNITED STRICT COURT AUG 2.2.20 OR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

COUNTS HARRISBURG, PA THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHARLES FROM PROWN. PLAINTIFF !! CIVIL ACTION

VS. "I AT No: # 1:00-CV-1224

WARDEN GERALD BRITTON. "I HON. SYLVIA H. RAMBO
EUGENE BERDANINER,

DAVID J. KURTZ, DEFENDANIS !! 42 U.S.C.A. \$ 1983

AMENDED CIVIL COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C.A. \$ 1983

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

THIS IS A CIVIL RIGHTS CASE FILED LINDER 42 U.S.C.A.\$198
BY A STATE PRISONER AND IS ASSERTING CLAIMS FOR THE
UNCONSTITUTIONAL DENIAL OF HIS RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM
CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT AND THE DENIAL OF EQUAL
PROTECTION OF THE LAWS IN VIOLATION OF HIS CIVIL RIGHTS
GROUNDED IN THE EIGHTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO
THE U.S. CONSTITUTION BY VARIOUS PRISON AUTHORITIES
OFFICIALLY EMPLOYED AS SUCH AT SCHUYLKILL COUNTY PRISON
(HEREINAFTER, "SCP") FROM JANUARY 19, 1998, UNTIL AUGUST
IS, 2000. THE PLAINTIFF SEEKS PUNATIVE AND COMPENSATORY
DAMAGES AS TO ALL CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THE COMPLAINT
AS WELL AS A TRIAL BY JURY DEMAND.

### PARTIES:

PLAINTIFF CHARLES E. BROWN (HEREINAFTEK, PLAINTIFF), IS
A UNITED STATES CITIZEN AND RESIDENT IN THE STATE OF
PENNSYLVANIA. HE IS CURRENTLY INCARCERATED IN THE STATE
CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION AT ALBION.

DEFENDANT WARDEN GERALD BRITTON IS OFFICALLY EMPLOY
AS WARDEN OF "SCP". HE IS SUED INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HE
OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS WARDEN. AS WARDEN, THE DEFENDAN
MAINTAINS GENERAL CONTROL AND SUPERVISION OF "SCP".
DEFENDANT BRITTON'S DUTIES INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITE
TO ENSURING THAT ALL INMATES CONFINED WITHIN HIS
FACILITY ARE PROPERLY AND ADEQUATELY FED. HOUSED,
SECURED AND CARED FOR WITHIN HIS FACILITY.

DEFENDANT EUGENE BERDANINER WAS OFFICIALLY THE "ACTING WARDEN" OF "SCP". HIS DUTTED WERE THE SAME AS DEFENDANT BRITTON'S. HE IS SUED INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS OFFICIALL CAPACITY AS ACTING WARDEN.

DEFENDANT DAVID J. KURTZ WAS OFFICIALLY THE FORMER WARDEN" OF "SCP". HIS DUTIES WERE THE SAME AS DEFENDATE BERDANINER. HE IS SUED INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS FORMER WARDEN.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS:

- 1. PLAINTIFF WAS INCARCERATED IN SCP PENDING THE OUTCO. OF CRIMINAL CHARGES FROM JANUARY 19, 1998 LINTIL AUGUST 15, 2000.
- 2. PLAINTIFF WAS HOUSED IN THE INMATE GENERAL POPULATION (HEREINAFTER, "GP"), AND SEGREGATION DURING HIS STAY AT "SCP".
- 3. WHILE PLAINTIFF WAS IN THE GP, HE WAS SUBJECTED TO CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT AND WAS DENIED EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS IN VIOLATION OF HIS CIVIL RIGHTS GUARANTEED UNDER BOTH THE EIGHTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION SINCE HE WAS FORCED

TO SLEEP IN A CELL WITH BUGS IN IT, WHERE OTHER INMATES ROUTEENLY THREW FECES AND URINE WITHIN SAID CELL AT ONE ANOTHER AND ON THE GP PRISON BLOCK AND PRISON GUMEDS AS WELL AS ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF ACTED WITH DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE BY REFUSING TO HAVE THE AFORE-MENTIONED "MESS AND BUGS" PROPERLY DISPOSED OF. PLAINTIFF WAS ADDITIONALLY FORCED TO SLEEP ON AN OLD FILTHLY MATTRES PLAINTIFF FILED A GRIEVENCE WITH FORMER WARDEN DEFENDANT DAVID J. KURTZ RELATING TO THESE MATTERS. NO RESPONSE WA FORTH COMMING. WHEN DEFENDANT EUGENE BERDANINER TOOK OVER AS ACTING WARDEN, PLAINTIFF AGAIN FILED A GRIEVENCE NO RESPONSE WAS FORTH COMMING. WHEN DEFENDANT GERALD BRITTON OFFICALLY TOOK OVER AS WARDEN, PLAINTIFF AGAIN ATTEMPTED IN GOOD FAITH TO ADDRESS THESE PROBLEMS BY FILING YET ANOTHER GRIEVENCE WITH DEFENDANT BRITTON. IT TOO WAS DELIBERATELY GNORED.

- 4. THE INMITE DINNING TABLES WERE AND REMAIN DIRECTLY NEXT TO THE INMATE REST ROOM OF WHICH PLAINTIFF WAS SUBJECTED TO THE STENCH OF SAID REST ROOMS ON A DAILY BASIS. PLAINTIFF AGAIN FILED GRIEVANCES IN RELATION TO ALL DEFENDANTS NAMED HEREIN ON THREE VARIOUS OCCASIONS AND ALL GRIEVANCES WERE IGNORED.
- 5. THE STRUCTURE OF THE PRISON WITH RESPECT TO THE FOUNDATION ITSELF WAS NOT ONLY DEPLORABLE BUT WAS AND REMAINS IMMENTLY DANGEROUS TO WIT; THE CONCRETE MORTOR WAS DISCINAGRATING BETWEEN EACH CRACK OF EACH STONE. WHEN WATER SPILLED FROM THE UPPER TIER, IT LEAKED THROUGH THE CRACKS OF EACH STONE INTO CELLS BELOW. PLAINTIFF FILED GRIEVANCES REGARDING THIS

- MATTER TO ALL DEFENDANTS NAMED HEREIN ON THREE (3) SEPARATE OCCASIONS AND SAID GRIEVANCES WERE IGNORE
- G. THE SHOWERS HAD PAINT PETLING OFF THEIR WALLS HAD MOLD AND MILDUE FORMING WITHIN OF WHICH ATTERIC WATER-BUGS, AND ONE (1) OF THE SHOWER STALLS WAS ALWAYS BROKEN AND NEVER REPAIRED. NO VENTILLATION EXISTS SINCE ALL OF THE VENTS ARE NEVER CLEANED THEY ARE CLOGGED WITH FILTH AND DUST. THE EXERCISE YARD CONSISTS OF CRACKED CONCRETE WHICH RESTS ON AN ALKWAR SLANT THAT CREATES FLOODING WITHIN SAID YARD DUE TO INADEQUATE DRAINAGE. THE WALL WHICH SURROUNDS THIS FACILITY IS CHIPPING AWAY WITH MOTOR AND CONCRETE SLABS
- 7. PLATINTIFF FILED VARIOUS GRIEVATICES RELATING TO A PROBLEMS ON THREE SEPARATE OCCASIONS TO ALL DETENDANTS NAMED HEREIN. ALL SUCH GRIEVANCES WERE IGNORED. ONE MUST KEEP IN MIND THAT THIS FACILITY REMLY DOESN'T HAV A LEGITIMATE GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE. SPECIFICALLY, IF ONE DESIRES TO FILE A GRIEVANCE, HE MUST UTILIZE A "REQUES SLIP" FORM. SEE, PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT "A", ATTACHED HERETOR ONCE THE COMPLAINTENT WRITE'S UP HIS COMPLIANT, HE ME HAND SAME TO A GUARD WHO THEN PLACES IT UPON A LEGIS IN THE BLOCK HALLWAY WHERE IT REMAINS WHITL IT IS PICKED UP BY OTHER PRISON STAFF. IT IS MORE THEN LIKED TRASHED" SINCE ALL OF PLAINTIFF'S GRIEVANCES WERE NEVER ADDRESSED, OR, THE DEFENDANT'S SIMPLY SAW SAL GRIEVANCES BUT DION'T CARE ABOUT THE DEPRIVATIONS.

- 8. ON ONE OCCASION. PLAINTIFF'S MOTHER HAD TELEPHONED THE JAIL IN ORDER TO SPEAK WITH PRISON AUTHORITIES REGARDING THE DEPLORABLE CONDITIONS AFOREMENTIONED. SHE HAS AGREED TO TESTIFY IN OPEN COURT TO THIS EFFECT.
- 9. PLAINTIFF ATTEMPTED TO OBTAIN MEDICAL ATTENTION DUE TO AN INJURY TO HIS KNEE HE SUSTITINED ON MARCH 31ST, 2000. HE WAS DENIED MEDICAL TREATMENT UNTIL TWENTY-EIGHT (28) DAYS LATER. IT MUST BE EMPHASIZE THAT THE INJURY WAS SUSTITINED IN THE PRESENCE OF A GUARD DURING THE EXERCISE PERIOD. DUE TO THE DELINQUENCY OF MEDICAL STAFF WITHIN "SCP" IN TREATH PLAINTIFF'S INJURED KNEE, HE NOW REQUIRES SUGERY.
- 10. PLATINTIFF WAS CONFINED IN THE SEGREGATION UNIT AT "SCP" FOR MISCONDUCT REPORTS HE RECIEVED WHILE IN THE GP FOR FIGHTING AND ARGUING WITH GUARDS. WHILE THERE, HE WAS SUBJECTED TO THE VERY SAME CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT WHILE HE HAD IN THE GP. AGAIN, HE ATTEMPTED IN GOOD FAITH TO FILE GRIEVAND TO THE DEFENDANT'S NAMED HEREIN. AGAIN, ALL OF SAID GRIEVANCES WERE IGNORED.
  - 11. AT THIS PRESENT TIME, PLAINTIFF WISHES TO DISMIS ALL OTHER DEFENDANTS THAT WERE NAMED AS SUCH IN THE INITIAL COMPLAINT. THE ONLY DEFENDANTS WHO SHOULD REMAIN AS SAME ARE: BRITTON, BERDANINER, MELTIC.

- 12. Due To THE Numerous AND SUBSTITUTIAL DEPAINATTONS AS STATED ABOVE, PLAINTIFF SUFFERED MENTAL
  STRESS AND ANGUISH, HUMILIATION, DEGRADATION, BOTH
  PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL PAIN AND SUFFERING DUE TO
  THE FAILURE TO HAVE THE DEFENDANTS SUBDROINATE MEDIC
  STAFF TREAT PLAINTIFF'S KNEE WITHIN A TIMELY FASHION.
  PLAINTIFF SUFFERED LOSS OF SLEEP AND APPATITE. EXHIBIT
  REPEATED NERVOUSNESS, HEAD-ACHES AND STOMICH CRAMPS
  ALL DUE TO HIS CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT.
  FIRST CLAIM
- 13. ALL DEFENDANTS NAMED HEREIN ARE ANDLOR WERE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DEPLORABLE CONDITIONS AND LACK OF MEDICAL TREATMENT THAT PLANNITFF WAS SUBJECTED TO AT ONE TIME OR ANOTHER SINCE THEY WERE PARE SUPERVISORS OF THIS FACILITY AND KNEW OF OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN ABOUT THE DEPLORABLE CONDITIONS AFOREMENTIONED SINCE PLAINTIFF DID FILE NUMEROUS GRIEVANCES ON THREE (3) DEFENDANTS (FORMER WARDEN, ACTING WARDEN AND THE CURRENT WARD EVERY TIME HE WAS SUBJECTED TO A U.S. CONSTITUTION DEPRIVATION. THE DEFENDANTS ACTED WITH DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE TO PLANNTIFF'S SUFFERING AND CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT IN VIOLATION OF HIS CIVIL RIGHTS PROTECTED UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION SINCE HIS CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT AN LACK OF "TIMELY" MEDICAL TREATMENT AMOUNTS TO CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT.

# SECOND CLAIM

14. ALL THREE (3) DEFENDANTS NAMED HEREIN VIOLATE PLAINTIFF'S CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTEER AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITION SINCE THEY DENIE PLAINTIFF EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS BY REFUSING TO RESPOND TO THE CONDITIONS OF PLAINTIFF'S CONFINEMENT THROUGH THE GRIEVANCE'S HE FILED TO ALL THREE (3) DEFENDANTS. THEY CLEARLY ACTED WITH DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE TO THE NEEDS OF PLAINTIFF.

## RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF RESPECTFULLY PRAYS THIS COURT WILL

- (1). ASSUME JURISDICTION IN THIS CASE;
- (2). AWARD PLATINTIFF COMPENSATORY AND PUNATIVE DAMAGE FROM EACH DEFENDANT NAMED HEREIN?
- (3). AWARD PLAINTIFF COSTS OF THIS ACTION AND REASONA.
  ATTORNEY FEES;
- (4). RECONSIDER PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL TO REPRESENT HIM;
- (5). ORDER SUCH OTHER ADDITIONAL RELIEF AS THIS COURT MAY DEEM JUST AND PROPER.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

8-20-01 0 A T E D

CHARLES E. BROWN, #EJ-6142 10745, ROUTE 18. SCI-ALBION ALBION, PENNSYLVANIA 16475

## DECLARATION

1, CHARLES E. BROWN, PLAINTIFF, DO HEREBY DECLARE
THAT THE STATEMENTS MADE HEREIN ARE TRUE AND CORRECT.

1 MAKE THIS STATEMENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH 28 U.S.C. \$1740

8-20-01 D A T E D CHARLES E. BROWN, EJ-61 10745, RT. 18. SCI-ALBIA ALBION, PA. 16475

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, CHARLES E. BROWN, PLAINTIFF CERTIFY THAT I HAVE THIS <u>10<sup>TH</sup></u> DAY OF <u>Cuiguit</u>, <u>2001</u>, CAUSED TO BE SERVED A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE WITHIN BY DEPOSITING SAME IN THE U.S. MAIL, FIRST CLASS, POSTAGE PRE-PAID, AND ADDRESSED TO:

(A) FRANK L. TAMULONIS, TR., ESQUIRE
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS
111 EAST MARKET STREET
P. O. BOX 238
POTTSVILLE, PA. 17901

BY: Sealing Typeson CHARLES E. BROWN, EJ-61 10745, BT. 18. 5CI-ALBIO ALBION, PA. 16475

Case 1:00-cv-01224-SHR-KH Document 3	2 - Filed-08/22/2004 Bage-3-0(63-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0
	PLATNITFF'S EXHIBIT "A"
FORM DC-135A	SCHUYLKILL COUNTY PRISON 7/29/87 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
	DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
INMATE'S REQUEST TO STAFF MEMBER	INSTRUCTIONS
	Complete Items Number 1-7. If you follow instructions in preparing
	your request, it can be disposed of more promptly and intelligently.
1. TO: (NAME AND TITLE OF OFFICER)	2. DATE
3. BY: (INSTITUTIONAL NAME AND NUMBER)	4. COUNSELOR'S NAME
5. WORK ASSIGNMENT	6. QUARTERS ASSIGNMENT
7. SUBJECT: STATE COMPLETELY BUT BRIEFLY THE PROBLEM ON W	 
	<u> </u>
8. DISPOSITION: (DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE)	
	•
☐ TO DC-14 CAR ONLY	☐ TO DC-14 CAR AND DC-15 IRS
STAFF MEMBER	DATE